

# Peugeot iOn

Tested model: Mitsubishi i-MiEV, LHD













ADULT OCCUPANT





**PEDESTRIAN** 

SAFETY ASSIST

CHILD OCCUPANT

# **ADULT OCCUPANT**

Total 26 pts | 73%

# FRONTAL IMPACT



Driver

9,9 pts



Passenger

## **SIDE IMPACT CAR**

7 pts

**SIDE IMPACT POLE** 









Pole

#### **REAR IMPACT (WHIPLASH)**

3,3 pts

GOOD



**ADEQUATE** MARGINAL **WEAK** 

**POOR** 

#### **FRONTAL IMPACT**

HEAD	
Driver airbag contact	stable
Passenger airbag contact	stable
CHEST	
Passenger compartment	unstable
Windscreen Pillar rearward	65mm
Steering wheel rearward	43mm
Steering wheel upward	none
Chest contact with steering	none

#### **UPPER LEGS, KNEES AND PELVIS**

Stiff structures in dashboard Steering column and lock; metal bracket; centre console; glovebox lid Concentrated loads on knees Steering column and lock; metal

bracket; centre console

#### **LOWER LEGS AND FEET**

Footwell Collapse rupture Rearward pedal movement brake - 103mm Upward pedal movement brake - 28mm

#### SIDE IMPACT

wheel

Head protection airbag Yes Chest protection airbag Yes

#### **WHIPLASH**

Seat description	Standard cloth 6 way manual
Head restraint type	Reactive
Geometric assessment	0,9 pts
TESTS	
- High severity	2,3 pts
- Medium severity	2,7 pts
- Low severity	2,3 pts



# CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 38 pts | 78%

#### **18 MONTH OLD CHILD**

Restraint Britax Römer DUO Plus ISOFIX

Group 0, 0+, 1**Facing** forward

Installation ISOFIX anchorages and top tether



**PERFORMANCE** 10 pts

**INSTRUCTIONS** 4 pts

**INSTALLATION** 2 pts

#### **FRONTAL IMPACT**

Head forward movement	protected
Head acceleration	good
Chest load	good

#### **SIDE IMPACT**

Head containment	protected
Head acceleration	good

## **3 YEAR OLD CHILD**

Restraint Britax Römer DUO Plus ISOFIX

Group 0, 0+, 1**Facing** forward

Installation ISOFIX anchorages and top tether



**PERFORMANCE** 12 pts

**INSTRUCTIONS** 4 pts

INSTALLATION 2 pts

#### FRONTAL IMPACT

Head forward movement	protected
Head acceleration	good
Chest load	good

#### **SIDE IMPACT**

Head containment	protected
Head acceleration	good

**VEHICLE BASED ASSESSMENT** 

4 pts

Airbag warning Label


Permanently attached text and pictogram label on both sides of the passenger sun visor

# **PEDESTRIAN**

# Total 17 pts | 48%

# SAFETY ASSIST

Total 6 pts | 86%



HEAD	11,2 pts
PELVIS	0 pts
LEG	6 pts

SPEED LIMITATION ASSISTANCE

0 pts

- 0, not available

**ELECTRONIC STABILITY CONTROL** (ESC)

3 pts

- standard

**SEATBELT REMINDER** 3 pts

- driver	1 pts
- passenger	1 pts
- rear	1 pts



#### **DETAILS OF TESTED CAR**

#### **SPECIFICATIONS**

Tested model	Tested model: Mitsubishi i-MiEV, LHD
Body type	5 door hatchback
Year of publication	2011
Kerb weight	1120kg
VIN from which rating applies	applies to all iOns of the

#### **SAFETY EQUIPMENT**

Front seatbelt pretensioners	
Front seatbelt load limiters	
Driver frontal airbag	single stage
Front passenger frontal airbag	single stage
Side body airbags	

Side head airbags

# **COMMENTS**

Euro NCAP is informed that the Peugeot iOn is structurally identical to the Mitsubishi i-MiEV and has the same interior fittings and levels of safety equipment. Accordingly, Euro NCAP believes that the star rating of the i-MiEV can also be applied to the Peugeot iOn.

#### **Adult occupant**

Inspection of the car after the frontal impact showed that several structures had reached the limit of their load-bearing capacity. The passenger compartment was judged to be unstable as the vehicle might not be able to withstand an impact at a higher speed. As a consequence, the score for the driver's chest was penalised and protection of this body region was rated as marginal. Most of the floor in the driver's footwell was heavily distorted and this too was penalised, leading to a marginal rating for protection of the driver's feet and ankles. Structures in the dashboard posed a risk of injury to the knees and femurs of both the driver and passenger. In the side barrier impact, the driver's door opened and the car was penalised, although dummy readings showed protection was at least adequate. However, in the more severe side pole test, dummy readings of rib compression indicated poor protection of the chest. Protection against whiplash injury in the event of a rear collision was good. No problems were experienced with the high voltage electrical system which powers the vehicle: the battery was properly isolated from the bodyshell and was not damaged during the tests.

#### **Child occupant**

Based on dummy readings, the car was awarded maximum points for its protection of the 3 year dummy in the dynamic tests. The passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. However, information provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag is not sufficiently clear. A permanently attached label clearly warns of the dangers of using a rearward facing restraint in that seat without first disabling the airbag.

#### **Pedestrian**

The bumper provided good protection and scored maximum points in Euro NCAP's tests. The front edge of the bonnet scored no points, offering poor protection to pedestrians' legs. The bonnet provided predominantly poor protection in those areas likely to be struck by the head of a child. However, the bonnet provided good protection in most of the areas where an adult's head would strike.

#### Safety assist

Electronic stability control is standard equipment on the iOn, together with a seatbelt reminder system for the front and rear seats.