



**Suzuki Jimny**  
Standard Safety Equipment

2018



Adult Occupant



73%

Child Occupant



84%

Vulnerable Road Users



52%

Safety Assist



50%

## SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Jimny 1.5L GLX
Body Type	- 3 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2018
Kerb Weight	1095kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Jimnys
Class	Supermini

## SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
<b>FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION</b>			
Frontal airbag	●	●	—
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✗	✗	—
<b>SIDE CRASH PROTECTION</b>			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✗
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✗

Version 040219

## SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✗
AEB Pedestrian	●
AEB Cyclist	✗
AEB City	●
AEB Inter-Urban	●
Speed Assistance System	●
Lane Assist System	●

**Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.**

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard   
 ○ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack  
○ Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack   
 ✗ Not available   
 — Not applicable

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 27.9 Pts / 73%

 GOOD     ADEQUATE     MARGINAL     WEAK     POOR

Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 4.6 / 8 Pts



Passenger                      Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows two crash test dummies. The Passenger dummy is colored yellow (Adequate) and green (Good). The Driver dummy is colored brown (Weak) and yellow (Adequate).


Frontal Full Width 5.8 / 8 Pts



Rear Passenger                      Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows two crash test dummies. The Rear Passenger dummy is colored orange (Marginal) and green (Good). The Driver dummy is colored orange (Marginal) and green (Good).


Whiplash Rear Impact 1.6 / 2 Pts



Front seat                      Rear seat

Detailed description: This panel shows two views of a crash test dummy's head and neck. The Front seat view shows a yellow (Adequate) neck. The Rear seat view shows a green (Good) neck.

Lateral Impact 16 / 16 Pts



Car                      Pole

Detailed description: This panel shows two side-view crash test dummies. The Car dummy is colored green (Good). The Pole dummy is colored green (Good).

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 27.9 Pts / 73%

 GOOD     ADEQUATE     MARGINAL     WEAK     POOR

AEB City

 0.0 / 4 Pts

Approaching a stationary car: Left Offset



Approaching a stationary car: No Offset



Approaching a stationary car: Right Offset



 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 27.9 Pts / 73%

## Comments

In the frontal offset test, there was insufficient pressure in the driver's airbag to prevent it from 'bottoming out', allowing the head to contact the steering wheel. The score for the head was penalised for the behaviour of the airbag and the displacement of the steering wheel, and protection for this part of the body was rated as marginal. The passenger compartment was extensively deformed in the offset test, especially around the door-frame and the score for the driver's chest was penalised for this unstable collapse. Combined with marginal dummy readings, protection for this body area was rated as weak. Although dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both driver and passenger, structures in the instrument panel and dashboard were thought to pose a risk of injury to occupants of different sizes, and protection was rated as marginal. In the full-width, rigid-barrier impact protection of the head of the rear passenger dummy was rated as weak, based on dummy readings during the test and protection of the chest of both driver and rear passenger was marginal. Tests on the front seats and head restraints showed marginal protection against whiplash injury in a rear impact. A geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated good protection. The standard-fit autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system performed well in tests of its functionality at the low speeds, typical of city driving, at which many whiplash injuries are caused. However, the Jimny did not qualify for these points as Euro NCAP requires good head restraint performance as a pre-requisite for AEB points.



**CHILD OCCUPANT**

Total 41.4 Pts / 84%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.4 / 24 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP*  
 Restraint for 10 year old child: *Nania Toppo*

**Safety Features**

6 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard
Isofix	✗	●
i-Size	✗	●
Integrated CRS	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard   ○ Not on test car but available as option   ✗ Not available

**CRS Installation Check**

12 / 12 Pts

● Install without problem   ● Install with care   ● Safety critical problem   ✗ Installation not allowed

■ **i-Size CRS**



**CHILD OCCUPANT**

Total 41.4 Pts / 84%

■ **ISOFIX CRS**

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)



BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)



Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)



■ **Universal Belted CRS**

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)



Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



## CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.4 Pts / 84%

	Seat Position		
	Front	2nd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	□	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	□	✘	✘
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	●	●	●
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	●	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	●	●	●

● Install without problem  
 ● Install with care  
 ● Safety critical problem  
 ✘ Installation not allowed

## Comments

In the frontal offset test, protection of all critical body areas was good except for the necks of both dummies, protection of which was rated as adequate. In the side barrier test, protection of both dummies was good for all critical parts of the body. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the Jimny is designed could be properly installed and accommodated.



**VULNERABLE ROAD USERS**

Total 25.1 Pts / 52%

■ GOOD   
 ■ ADEQUATE   
 ■ MARGINAL   
 ■ WEAK   
 ■ POOR

Pedestrian Impact Protection	24.3 / 36 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">14.4 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">3.9 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	14.4 Pts	Pelvis Impact	3.9 Pts	Leg Impact	6 Pts
Head Impact	14.4 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	3.9 Pts						
Leg Impact	6 Pts						

Vulnerable Road Users	0.8 / 12 Pts
System Name	Dual Sensor Brake Support
Type	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning

**Comments**

The bonnet provided predominantly good or adequate protection to the head of a struck pedestrian, with poor results recorded at the front of the bonnet and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper provided good protection to pedestrians' legs but protection of the pelvis was mixed. The AEB system showed weak performance in tests of its pedestrian avoidance in daylight and does not operate in low light. The system cannot react to faster-moving road-users like cyclists.

 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 25.1 Pts / 52%


AEB Pedestrian

■ Day time


Adult crossing the road



Child running from behind parked vehicles



Adult along the roadside



Version 040219

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 6.5 Pts / 50%

■ GOOD   
 ■ ADEQUATE   
 ■ MARGINAL   
 ■ WEAK   
 ■ POOR

Speed Assistance

■ 1.3 / 3 Pts

System Name	Speed Limiter
Speed Limitation Function	Manually set (accurate to 5km/h)

Seat Belt Reminder

■ 3 / 3 Pts

Applies To	Not available		
	Driver Seat	front passenger(s)	rear passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●
Occupant detection	—	●	●

● Pass   
 ● Fail   
 — Not available

Lane Support

■ 0.3 / 4 Pts

System Name	Lane Departure Warning
Operational From	55 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	<span style="color: gray;">■</span> NOT AVAILABLE
Lane Keep Assist	<span style="color: gray;">■</span> NOT AVAILABLE
Human Machine Interface	<span style="color: yellow;">■</span> ADEQUATE

**SAFETY ASSIST**

Total 6.5 Pts / 50%

**AEB Interurban**

**2 / 3 Pts**


System Name	Dual Sensor Brake Support
Type	Autonomous Emergency Braking and Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	5 km/h

**Comments**


The Jimny has a seatbelt reminder for the front and rear seats as standard. The AEB system performed adequately in tests of its performance at highway speeds. There is a lane departure warning system as standard, but no lane keep assistance. The speed assistance system allows the driver to set the maximum speed of the vehicle.

■ **Autobrake function only**


Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car




 SAFETY ASSIST


Total 6.5 Pts / 50%

■ Driver reacts to warning


Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car



## RATING VALIDITY

### Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine & Transmission	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
			LHD	RHD
3 door hatchback	1.5 petrol*	4 x 4	✓	✓

\* Tested variant

### Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
September 2018	Rating Published	2018  ✓